# CS 143

Warren Kim

# **Contents**







# Preface

Note: This set of notes covers weeks 5 through 10. This is because the midterm was limited to five cheat sheets. I was not going to write notes for weeks 1 through 4 as well, especially since I wrote these notes two days before the final.

Note: The Functional Dependencies and Normalization chapter is a summary of lectures 8 and 9.

# Legend

Definition:

Any definitions will appear in a grey box like this one.

Example

Any examples will appear in a green box like this one.

Aside

Any asides will appear in a blue box like this one.

### Theorem:

Any theorems will appear in a yellow box like this one.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>Functional Dependencies and Normalization

# <span id="page-5-1"></span>9.1 Normalization

## Definition: Normalization

Normalization is the process of refactoring tables to reduce redundancy in a relation. It involves splitting tables with redundant data into two or more norn-redundant tables.

Tables without redundancies are called "*properly normalized*". When there are redundancies in a tables, we can decompose them using functional dependencies.

# <span id="page-5-2"></span>9.1.1 Functional Dependencies

### Definition: Functional Dependency

Given a relation R with sets of attributes  $X, Y, X \to Y$  is true if and only if each value of X is associated with *exactly one* value of Y.

We say "X functionally determines Y". Then, for all pairs of tuples  $t_1, t_2 \in R$ , if  $X \to Y$ , then if  $t_1[X] = t_2[X]$ , then  $t_1[Y] = t_2[Y]$ .

Example



The existence of a functional dependency implies that we can split the table up into two tables.

## Example

If  $R(X, Y, A, B)$  and  $X \to Y$ , then we have  $R_1(X, Y), R_2(X, A, B)$ .

### <span id="page-6-0"></span>9.1.2 Properties of Functional Dependencies

Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be sets of attributes in a relation R. Then we have Armstrong's Axioms:

- $\rightarrow$  Reflexivity: If  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ , then  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Augmentation: If  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , then  $\alpha \gamma \rightarrow \beta \gamma$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Transitivity: If  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ .

From these, we have additional properties:

- $\rightarrow$  Union: If  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \gamma$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Composition: If  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta, \gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ , then  $\alpha \gamma \rightarrow \beta \Delta$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Decomposition: If  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Pseudotransitivity: If  $\alpha \rightarrow b$ ,  $\Delta \beta \rightarrow \gamma$ , then  $\Delta \alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ .

## <span id="page-6-1"></span>9.1.3 Canonical Cover

Definition: Canonical Cover

The **canonical cover**  $F_c \subseteq F^+$  is the basis set of the set of all functional dependencies  $F^+$ . It is not unique.

Finding the Canonical Cover: The algorithm to find the canonical cover is given below:

- (1) Decompose RHS:  $(X \to YZA$  becomes  $X \to Y, X \to Z, X \to A$ .
- (2) Remove extraneous attributes:  $(AB \to C, B \to C, AB \to C$  is extraneous).
- (3) Remove trivial, duplicate, inferred FD's (by transitivity).
- (4) Union and repeat until set doesn't change.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Attribute Closure:**  $\alpha^+$  is the set of attributes inferred by  $\alpha$ . If,  $\alpha^+ = R$ , then  $\alpha$  is an SK.

### 9.1.4 Normal Forms

There are 8 normal forms, but we discuss 1NF, 2NF, 3NF, and BCNF (3.5NF).

First Normal Form (1NF): Atomic attributes (flat, no nesting/collections), no repeated groups, there is a unique key, no null values.

**Second Normal Form (2NF):** R is 1NF and does not contain any composite keys. More generally, R is 2NF  $\iff \forall a \in R$ , either (1)  $a \in \text{CK}$  or (2)  $a \in R$  depends on an entire key; i.e. it is not partially dependent on any composite candidate key.

**Third Normal Form (3NF):** All non-prime  $a \in R$  depend directly on a CK (no transitivity); i.e. if all  $a \in R$  are part of a candidate key, R is 3NF.

**Zaniolo's 3NF:**  $\forall f \in F$ , at least one is true: (1)  $a \rightarrow \beta$  is trivial. (2)  $\alpha \in R$  is SK. (3)  $\beta \in CK$ .

**BCNF:**  $\forall f : \alpha \to \beta \in F$ , at least one is true: (1) f is trivial  $(\beta \subseteq \alpha)$  or (2)  $\alpha$  is a SK for R.

Note: As Normal Form ↑, Redundancy ↓, but Data Integrity may also ↓ in BCNF. Additionally, BCNF removes all redundancy due to functional dependencies only. There may be redundancy due to other causes.

Definition: Losslessness A decomposition is **lossless** if  $R_1 \bowtie R_2 = R$ . We can also check (1)  $R_1 \cup R_2 = R$ . (2)  $R_1 \cap R_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

(3)  $(R_1 \cap R_2)^+$  forms an SK for either  $R_1$  or  $R_2$ .

1NF, 2NF, 3NF, BCNF guarantee losslessness.

BCNF Decomposition Algorithm

```
for any R_i in the schema
     if (\alpha \rightarrow \beta holds on R_i and
          \alpha \rightarrow \beta is non-trivial and
           \alpha is not a superkey), then
                 Decompose R_i into R_{i_1}(\alpha^+) and R_{i_2}(\alpha\cup (R_i-\alpha^+))// \alpha is the common attriute(s)
repeat until no more decompositions are necessary
```
### Example

Determine if R is BCNF. If not, decompose it into BCNF.

$$
R(A, B, C), F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}
$$

Note that  $A^+ = ABC$ . Then

 $\rightarrow$  A  $\rightarrow$  B ( $\checkmark$ ): (i) not trivial (ii) A is SK.

 $\rightarrow$  B  $\rightarrow$  C (x): (i) not trivial (ii) B not SK.

 $R \notin BCNF$ . Decomposing, we get  $R_1(B, C), R_2(A, B)$ .

### Example

Determine if R is BCNF. If not, decompose it into BCNF.

 $R(A, B, C, D), F = \{C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow C\}$ 

Note that  $B^+ = BCDA = R$ . Then

 $\rightarrow$  C  $\rightarrow$  AD (x): (i) not trivial (ii) C not SK.

 $\rightarrow$  B  $\rightarrow$  C ( $\checkmark$ ): (i) not trivial (ii) B is SK.

 $R \notin BCNF$ . Decomposing, we get  $R_1(A, C, D), R_2(B, C)$ .

Functional Dependencies as Constraints: By definition, a functional dependency is a constraint. When designing DB, we want BCNF/3NF, losslessness, and dependency preservation.

Dependency Preservation: 1NF, 2NF, 3NF guarantee dependency preservation. BCNF does not.

Determine if  $R$  is in BCNF.

$$
R(A, B, C, D, E, G), F = \{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D\}
$$

Note that  $A^+ = ABCDE$ ,  $B^+ = BD$ ,  $C^+ = CE$ . By the union property, we have

 $F = \{A \rightarrow BC, C \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D\}$ 

 $\rightarrow A \rightarrow BC$ : (i)  $A \rightarrow BC$  is not trivial. (ii) A is not a superkey. **x** 

- $\rightarrow C \rightarrow E: (i) C \rightarrow E$  is not trivial. *(ii)* C is not a superkey. **x**
- $\rightarrow$  B  $\rightarrow$  D: (i) B  $\rightarrow$  D is not trivial. (ii) B is not a superkey. x

All three dependencies violate BCNF. Decomposing on  $B \to D$ , we get

 $R_1(A, B, C, E, G), R_2(B, D)$ 

## Looking at  $R_1$ :

 $\rightarrow A \rightarrow BC$ : (i)  $A \rightarrow BC$  is not trivial. (ii) A is not a superkey. **x**  $\rightarrow C \rightarrow E: (i) C \rightarrow E$  is not trivial. *(ii)* C is not a superkey. **x** 

Both dependencies violate BCNF. Decomposing on  $C \rightarrow E$ , we get

 $R_3(A, B, C, G), R_4(C, E), R_2(B, D)$ 

## Looking at  $R_3$ :

 $\rightarrow A \rightarrow BC$ : (i)  $A \rightarrow BC$  is not trivial. (ii) A is not a superkey. **x** 

 $A \rightarrow BC$  violates BCNF. Decomposing on  $A \rightarrow BC$ , we get

$$
R_5(A, B, C), R_6(A, G), R_4(C, E), R_2(B, D)
$$

Reindexing the decomposition, we get

$$
R_1(A, G), R_2(C, E), R_3(B, D), R_4(A, B, C)
$$

From the previous example, determine if the decomposition is dependency preserving.

Recall the following attribute closures:

$$
A^{+} = ABCDE, B^{+} = BD, C^{+} = CE, D^{+} = D, E^{+} = E, G^{+} = G
$$

 $B \to D$ : Iteration 1:  $result = B$  $t = (B \cap R_1)^+ \cap R_1 = (B \cap AG)^+ \cap AG = \emptyset \rightarrow result = B$  $t = (B \cap R_2)^+ \cap R_2 = (B \cap CE)^+ \cap CE = \emptyset \rightarrow result = B$  $t = (B \cap R_3)^+ \cap R_2 = (B \cap BD)^+ \cap BD = BD \cap BD = BD \rightarrow result = BD \checkmark$ short circuit We derived D, so  $B \to D$  is preserved.  $C \rightarrow E$ : Iteration 1:  $result = C$  $t = (C \cap R_1)^+ \cap R_1 = (C \cap AG)^+ \cap AG = \emptyset \rightarrow result = C$  $t = (C \cap R_2)^+ \cap R_2 = (C \cap CE)^+ \cap CE = CE \cap CE = CE \rightarrow result = CE \checkmark$ short circuit We derived  $E$ , so  $C \rightarrow E$  is preserved.  $A \rightarrow BC$ : Iteration 1:  $result = A$  $t = (A \cap R_1)^+ \cap R_1 = (A \cap AG)^+ \cap AG = ABCDE \cap AG = A \rightarrow result = A$  $t = (A \cap R_2)^+ \cap R_2 = (A \cap CE)^+ \cap CE = \emptyset \rightarrow result = A$  $t = (A \cap R_3)^+ \cap R_3 = (A \cap BD)^+ \cap BD = \emptyset \rightarrow result = A$  $t = (A \cap R_4)^+ \cap R_4 = (A \cap ABC)^+ \cap ABC = ABCDE \cap ABC = ABC \rightarrow result = ABC \checkmark$ short circuit We derived  $BC$ , so  $A \rightarrow BC$  is preserved.

Because every functional dependency was preserved, this decomposition is dependency preserving.

Given  $R(A, B, C, D, E, G)$  and the following decomposition:  $R_1 = (A, B, C, G), R_2 = (A, D, E)$  with functional dependencies  $F = \{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, CD \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow A\}$ , the decomposition is lossless if it satisfies the following:

1. 
$$
R_1 \cup R_2 = R : R_1 \cup R_2 = (A, B, C, D, E, G) = R \checkmark
$$

2.  $R_1 \cap R_2 \neq \emptyset$ :  $R_1 \cap R_2 = (A) \neq \emptyset$ 

3.  $(R_1 \cap R_2)^+$  forms a superkey for either  $R_1$  or  $R_2$ : Looking at  $(R_1 \cap R_2)^+$ , we have  $A^+ = ABCDE$ , which forms a superkey for  $R_2 = (A, D, E)$ .  $B \in A^+$  by  $A \to B$ .  $C \in A^+$  by  $A \to C$ .  $D \in A^+$  by  $A \to B \to D$ .

$$
E \in A^+ \text{ by } A \to C, A \to B \to D \implies A \to CD \to E. \checkmark
$$

The decomposition is lossless.

#### Example

Given the following relation:



The candidate key in the context of the problem is AB. The functional dependencies are

 $\rightarrow AB \rightarrow C$ ,  $AB \rightarrow D$  since AB is a superkey.

 $\rightarrow C \rightarrow D$  since there is only one score/grade per class.

 $\rightarrow R$  is in 2NF if for every attribute in R, at least one of the following holds:

(1) it is part of a candidate key.

(2) the attribute depends on the entire candidate key.

Clearly,  $A, B$  are in the candidate key  $AB$ . The non-prime attributes  $C, D$  both depend on the entire candidate key AB, so this relation is in 2NF.

 $\rightarrow$  This relation is not in 3NF because D can be determined through C, so there is a transitive dependency  $AB \to C \to D$ .

# <span id="page-11-0"></span>Lecture 10

# <span id="page-11-1"></span>10.1 OnLine Transaction Processing

Definition: OnLine Transaction Processing (OLTP)

OnLine Transaction Processing is a type of data system and is designed for frequent interactive use and optimizes *random* access with low latency and can be used in production. Each read/write is a result of a transaction carries out by the user. RDBMS are a type of OLTP.

OLTP's typically involve simple queries (e.g. SELECT, basic JOIN's). They

- $\rightarrow$  optimize for quick, random access.
- $\rightarrow$  are based on *transactions*, which are individual events that are stored as *rows* in an RDBMS.
- $\rightarrow$  strive to minimize redundancy and require joins to exploit relationships in data; that is, they are normalized.
- $\rightarrow$  are designed for production use: data is typically accessed by online applications or users.
- $\rightarrow$  abstract data as a 2-dimensional representation called a *table*.

# <span id="page-11-2"></span>10.2 OnLine Analytical Processing and Data Warehousing

Definition: OnLine Analytical Processing/Data Warehousing (OLAP/DW)

OnLine Analytical Processing is a system that is not meant to be accessed in production, and only by internal users. It is *read-only* and allows for *very fast, low latency* reads of data.

Data Warehouses are an implementation of OLAP concepts.

Typically, only other automated systems (ETL) write into OLAP's. They are usually used for analytics by internal users (e.g. data scientists, business analysts, etc.). OLAP/DW's are completely different systems from RDBMS/OLTP, but both typically use SQL.

OLAP's use an ad hoc write system which writes in batches, since incremental writes are slow. Pure OLAP systems aren't very common anymore, but many of the concepts are still used today in relational databases or data warehouses.

The user still "sees" and "works" with tables. However in OLAP, data is conceptualized as a cube with rows, columns, and depth. Each cell/subcube is referred to as a measure.

### Example

Suppose we have a cube where the length represents shipping date (e.g. 2018), height represents the product (e.g. tablet), and the depth represents a location (e.g. US West Coast). Then the measure of this cube is revenue, and looks like



OLAP is typically used for batch processing and not for production systems. They are optimized for low latency reads (SELECT) of aggregated or precomputed data. We typically perform a precomputed JOIN during a low usage period (e.g. overnight) via an automated system like an ETL job and then read from the OLAP throughout the day.

## <span id="page-12-0"></span>10.2.1 ETL Jobs in OLAP's:

An ETL job transfers either all of the data (inefficient) or new data (since the last update). But this is very costly to the production database (if it's being used). Additionally, we may lose some data if inserts/updates in the OLTP are processed during the transfer. Since we typically work with denormalized tables and aggregates in OLAP's, this is usually okay.

Addressing Performance: In order to address the performance issue with ETL jobs executing against the production database, we can have a replica database and run the ETL job against the replica. We can keep the replica in sync with the production database by performing dual writes, where, on each write to the production database, we also write to the replica.

# <span id="page-12-1"></span>10.2.2 Exploded Tables

The first thing OLAP does when the data is loaded is to perform various aggregates and construct different dimensions (groups). These functions can be:

- $\rightarrow$  specified *a priori* by a data engineer.
- $\rightarrow$  automatically inferred by the system. If we have n grouping columns, then we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $k=0$  $\binom{n}{k} = 2^n$ dimensions (groups).

In either case, this process is time consuming and is CPU intensive, hence why it's usually computed overnight. You can have multiple data warehouses for the same database. It can be split by department, region, etc.

Data warehouses also typically store exploded tables, or denormalized tables with precomputed JOIN's for low latency reads. There is a catch; we will be working with outdated data most of the time since we only update the data warehouse periodically and not in real time. Typically, these tables are append-only; i.e. no moditications or deletions.

Separation of Concerns: We have a separation between RDBMS and data warehouses because we cannot always trust the user.

# <span id="page-12-2"></span>10.2.3 OLAP Operations

There are five operations in OLAP, now implemented in RDBMS. Throughout each operation, assume we are working with a data warehouse that stores product information where the length is the locations (e.g. NA), height is the product (e.g. wireless mouse), and depth is the time (e.g. 2003).

Slice: A slice selects one predominant dimension from a cube and returns a new sub-cube. For example, if we want product  $=$  wireless mouse, we get a rectangular representation of data containing only wireless mice, but across all locations and time. In SQL, this is similar to WHERE.

Dice: A dice selects multiple values from multiple dimensions and returns a new sub-cube. For example, location = NA and product = Nokia. In SQL, this is similar to a WHERE with  $AND's$ .

### Aside

The distinction between slice and dice is typically only in theory. We typically only use slice.

Rollup: A rollup computes aggregates across all levels of a hierarchical attribute. For example, aggregating the sales for a particular day  $\subseteq$  month  $\subseteq$  quarter  $\subseteq$  year  $\subseteq \cdots$ . In SQL, it looks like

> SELECT year, month, day, SUM(sales) AS total\_sales FROM hourly\_sales GROUP BY ROLLUP(year, month, day);

where, from left to right, we have least granular  $\rightarrow$  most granular; i.e. year  $\supseteq$  month  $\supseteq$  day. Generally, ROLLUP(A, B, C) where

 $A \supseteq B \supseteq C$ 



Drill Down: A drill down extracts aggregates at a finer level of granularity. For example, going from an annual aggregate down to a week or day aggregate.

### Example

Dashboarding is an example of using OLAP operations. For example, we can take a Facebook sentiment analysis.

- $\rightarrow$  Take a slice where company = Walmart.
- $\rightarrow$  Filter by gender by **dicing** where company = Walmart and gender = woman.
- $\rightarrow$  Choosing a state uses a drill down since states  $\supseteq$  counties  $\supseteq$  cities  $\supseteq \cdots$ .
- $\rightarrow$  If we want to zoom out one level, we use rollup.

Pivot: A pivot converts data from *long* format to *wide* format and vice-versa.

Long Format: In a long format, the table is more flexible so it's easier to add another row. There are also no NULL's. However, we now have redundancy, which implies that this table is denormalized. It may also be harder to understand.



Wide Format: In a wide format, there is less redundancy so it's easier to understand, but now we have the possibility of NULL's and the format is inflexible (since we would have to use an ALTER TABLE). uid  $\left| \text{ full_name} \right| \left| \text{ hw1} \right| \left| \text{ hw2} \right| \left| \text{ hw3} \right| \left| \text{ hw4} \right|$ 



Note: Long format is more common in analytics and in general.

Long to Wide: We can use a searched case statement to collapse the table from a long format into a wide format:

> SELECT uid, full\_name, SUM(CASE assignment WHEN 'hw1' THEN 'mark' ELSE 0 END) AS hw1, SUM(CASE assignment WHEN 'hw2' THEN 'mark' ELSE 0 END) AS hw2, SUM(CASE assignment WHEN 'hw3' THEN 'mark' ELSE 0 END) AS hw3, SUM(CASE assignment WHEN 'hw4' THEN 'mark' ELSE 0 END) AS hw4 FROM homework\_grades GROUP BY uid, full\_name;

Wide to Long We can use a union to collapse the table from a long format into a wide format:

SELECT uid, full\_name, 'hw1', hw1 FROM wide\_format UNION SELECT uid, full\_name, 'hw2', hw2 FROM wide\_format UNION SELECT uid, full\_name, 'hw3', hw3 FROM wide\_format UNION SELECT uid, full\_name, 'hw4', hw4 FROM wide\_format;

## <span id="page-14-0"></span>10.2.4 Schemas in Data Warehouses

Data warehouses can be used for joins, but the types of tables in a DW restrict this to:

- $\rightarrow$  A fact table which contains quantitative data to be analyzed. They are typically denormalized.
- $\rightarrow$  A dimension table which contains data about attributes of each of these facts.

There are three common schemas in data warehouses.

Star Schema: The star schema contains a single fact table along with several dimension tables that must be joined to it to get a result.

Snowflake Schema: The snowflake schema contains a single fact table along with several dimension tables that must be joined to it to get a result. Unlike the star schema, the dimension table references other dimension table(s) to be able to fully describe the fact; i.e. multiplie dimension tables need to be joined to describe the fact.

Galaxy Schema: The galaxy schema contain multiple fact tables that *share* dimension tables among them. It is the closest to RDBMS. The multiple fact tables are connected via the dimension table(s) they share.

# <span id="page-15-0"></span>10.2.5 DuckDB

DuckDB is an OLAP data store. It can be used standalone. It is in memory and inprocess, optimized for analytics, uses the columnar data model, and doesn't require a server.

## <span id="page-15-1"></span>10.2.6 Summary

OLAP is optimized for fast access to aggregated data or denormalized tables. The data is multidimensional and dimensions, interactions, and aggregations on them are pre-computed. Data is loaded in bulk (typically overnight), which may lead to it being outdate but that's usually not a concern. There are no online modifications and have very fast read times. Data can be changed from long to wide format (and vice-versa) via pivoting. Most importantly, many concepts from OLAP apply to RDBMS.

# <span id="page-15-2"></span>10.3 Data System Architecture

Depending on the type of work that needs to be done with the data, we may opt for an OLTP or an OLAP. Software engineers and end-users need fast read/write access to interact with the application at hand; i.e. production data should be stored in an OLTP. Analysts, managers, and scientists typically don't need access to real-time data. Therefore, they should use and OLAP.

## <span id="page-15-3"></span>10.3.1 Replication

One common architecture is to have multiple copies of the same database:

- $\rightarrow$  A production database that gets its data from another system like an ETL job,
- $\rightarrow$  a development database that is used to test new features/process diagnotics,
- $\rightarrow$  a read-only database,
- $\rightarrow$  optionally, a R&D database.

The databases are kept in sync either via an ETL job, a message bus that multiplexes data operations, or advanced replication options.

## <span id="page-15-4"></span>10.3.2 Multiple Systems

We may have multiple systems that contain the same/similar data:

- $\rightarrow$  DBMS for production data,
- $\rightarrow$  a key-value store or cache for frequently used data,
- $\rightarrow$  data warehouses for dashboarding, reporting, and fast access to aggregates,
- $\rightarrow$  a search engine for quickly locating records,
- $\rightarrow \,$  a big data system for ETL jobs.

# <span id="page-16-0"></span>10.4 Data Lakes

## Definition: Data Lake

A data lake is a single system that contains raw, unprocessed data and has no schema. They can be thought of as a big repository of raw data.

Clean data lakes require a lot of upkeep, curation, and management. Data lakes may bloat with unnecessary data since developers will dump any and all data into them, thinking they will need it one day. Thus, data lakes may sometimes be called "data swamps" or "data graveyards".

We want to be able to efficiently access and process data from a data lake, allow data sharing between systems and users (authorization model), efficiently catalog/index the contents of a data lake, and be (program) language agnostic.

# <span id="page-16-1"></span>10.5 Comparisons



# <span id="page-17-0"></span>Lecture 11

# <span id="page-17-1"></span>11.1 Distributed Systems

There are two main ways to design a distributed data system.

Replication: In replicated systems, all nodes have the same data. This is good for load balancing, the system is fault tolerant  $\implies$  highly available, but mostly consistent.

### Example

In a *centralized* server with three nodes  $A, B, C$ , when  $A$  executes a write, it also writes to the master data store, which will write to  $B, C$ . If B tries to read data that hasn't been replicated yet, it will ask the master data store which will then return the data.

In a *decentralized* server with three nodes  $A, B, C$ , when A executes a write, it also broadcasts to  $B, C$ . This is also known as a gossip model.

Sharding: In sharded systems, different nodes contain different data. Whether or not each node is disjoint from another is up to design. This is good for low latency (within your local node).

### Example

In a *centralized* server with three nodes  $A, B, C$ , when A executes a write, nothing else happens. If B tries to read data that is written in  $A, B$  asks the master data store which will then ask  $A$  for the data.

In a decentralized server with three nodes  $A, B, C$ , when A executes a write, nothing else happens. If B tries to read data that is written in A, B asks A for the data.

## <span id="page-17-2"></span>11.1.1 Distributed Filesystem Architecture

A distributed filesystem is decomposed into m machines, where each machine  $m_i$  may have multiple hard disks. In either case, we allocate space on the disk for a directory that is part of the distributed file system across the m machines.

# <span id="page-17-3"></span>11.2 Big Data

#### Definition: Big Data

Big data is data that is too large to fit into RAM. It can be on the order of terabytes or petabytes.

The purpose of a big data system is to maximize the usage of compute resources to increase the amount of work we can do per unit time via parallelism. Note that this work involves processing large amounts of data that may or may not be structured.

Aside

One common misconception is that parallelism makes data processing faster. This need not be true. Parallelism increases the maximum *throughput*, but if there is too much overhead, parallelism can be slower.

# <span id="page-18-0"></span>11.3 MapReduce (in Hadoop)

<span id="page-18-1"></span>Throughout this section, we will use a word counting example.

## 11.3.1 MapReduce Operations

Input: The InputFormat will define how records are laid out in files and how to divide groups of records into splits, which define the level of parallelism.

Map: Once we partition the data into splits, each split will perform the map task on a record. In this example, we can either

- (1) output the key-value pair  $(w, 1)$  for every single occurrence of every word w. This uses a lot of disk I/O but little RAM.
- (2) output a key-value pair  $(w, c)$  where c is the count for one word w. This uses little disk I/O but more RAM.

We can do (2) in the map phase or we can implement it in the **combiner** that performs an aggregation right after the map phase. The map tasks run on each record in parallel and are independent of one another.

**Partition:** After each map task completes, we **group** the key-value pairs by key; all key-value pairs with the same key will be sent to the same reducer task in the reduce phase. The partitions are local to each map task. Each key-value pair is assigned to a partition using a hash function applied ot the key followed by a modulo n where  $n$  is the number of reduce tasks. We can implement our own hash function if need be.

Note: Up to the partition phase is **map-side**.

Shuffle: The partitions from each map task are shuffled across the network to a series of reducers, which is chosen by the resource manager or job tracker.

Sort: Each partition is sent to the reducer which sorts the key-value pairs by key. The values are then grouped by key: (key, [list of values]). For example, (Car 2), (Car 1)  $\rightarrow$  (Car, [2, 1]).

Reduce: Each reducer executes a reduce function on the list of values for each key. In this example, we can apply a summation, or  $(Car, \text{SUM}([2, 1])) \rightarrow (Car, 3)$ .

Note: We execute all of the reduce functions in parallel. Since the partitioner sent all of the keys to the correct reducer, we should not have duplicate keys sent to different reducers. The one exception is if there is an imbalance in the reducers; e.g. the word "the" is more common than the word "xylophone", so we might send some "the"s to the x-reducer via a custom hash. This requires a second reduce phase to aggregate all of the "the"s.

Output: Each reduce task outputs a single file. The total number of output files is equal to the number of reducers. We can use OutputFormat store the output in an alternate format.

<span id="page-18-2"></span>Note: From the sort phase to the output phase are **reduce-side**.

## 11.3.2 Infrastructure and Example

MapReduce functions on each input split *independently*. It is a **shared-nothing** model and is **embarrass**ingly parallel. The following Python script is an example of a MapReduce job:

```
#!/ user /bin/env python
''' mapper .py '''
import sys
for line in sys.stdin: # input comes from stdin.
   line = line.strip() # remove leading/trailing whitespace.
   words = line.split() # split the line into words.for word in words: # iterate across each word.
       print(f'\{word\}\t{1}'') # (w, 1)
```

```
#!/ user /bin/env python
''' reducer .py '''
import sys
from operator import itemgetter
for line in sys.stdin: # input comes from stdin.
    line = line.strip() # remove leading/trailing whitespace.
    word, count = line.split ('\t', 1) # split the line into (w, c)count = int(count)if curr_word == word :
        curr_count += count
    else :
        if curr_word :
            print(f'\{curr\_word}\t{curr\_count}\') # (w, c)
        curr_count = countcurr_word = word
if curr_word == word: # last word
    print (f'_{curr\_word}\t{curr_count})' # (w, c)
```
<span id="page-19-0"></span>To run, do cat fox.dat | ./mapper.py | sort | ./reducer.py.

# 11.4 SQL in MapReduce

The **map** phase is a  $\sigma$ /WHERE The **reduce** phase is a  $\gamma$ . The partition/sort is a GROUP BY, and the reducer is an aggregation function (e.g. SUM).

### <span id="page-19-1"></span>11.4.1 Subqueries

Subqueries can encourage parallelism for MapReduce jobs in SQL. The optimizer will order the computeations in a way that the result of the subquery materializes before the outer query.

## <span id="page-19-2"></span>11.4.2 Efficiency

<span id="page-19-3"></span>A join in relational algebra is one operation, but in MapReduce, it's two operations. Representing relational algebra as a series of map and reduce steps is inefficient.

# 11.5 Apache Spark

Rather than dealing with files and filesystems, we deal with datasets called Resilient Distributed Datasets (RDD's) that

- $\rightarrow$  can be any format.
- $\rightarrow$  are resilient; if one node fails, there is a replica somewhere else.
- $\rightarrow$  are distributed; parts of the data can be on differen tnoes in the system.
- $\rightarrow$  are immutable.

## <span id="page-20-0"></span>11.5.1 Spark vs. Hadoop

Spark is usually better than Hadoop since:

- $\rightarrow$  computations are stored in RAM until we explicitly request to persist them to disk (collect()).
- $\rightarrow$  Spark uses lazy evaluation and builds a DAG. This makes it easier to handle iterative problems.
- $\rightarrow$  Spark has been measured to be up to 100x faster than Hadoop when using RAM, and 10x faster when using disk/HDFS.

<span id="page-20-1"></span>However, disk is cheap (but slow), so use Hadoop. If you can afford RAM, use Spark.

### 11.5.2 Transformations

Transformations return immediately but are not persisted to the disk. These commands build the DAG. Some examples include:

- $\rightarrow$  sample()
- $\rightarrow$  reduceByKey()
- $\rightarrow$  join() filter()
- $\rightarrow$  sort(), sortByKey()
- $\rightarrow$  groupBy(), distinct()
- $\rightarrow$  union(), intersection()
- $\rightarrow$  map(), flatMap(), mapPartitions()

### <span id="page-20-2"></span>11.5.3 Actions

The pipeline only executes when an action is executed. These commands execute the DAG. Some examples include:

- $\rightarrow$  count(), countByKey()
- $\rightarrow$  head(), first(), take(n)
- $\rightarrow$  reduce(func) foreach(func)
- $\rightarrow$  In DataFrame/DataSet, show()
- $\rightarrow$  collect(), collectAsList(), collectAsMap()
- <span id="page-20-3"></span> $\rightarrow$  saveAsTextFile(), saveAsSequenceFile(), saveAsObjectFile()

## 11.5.4 Examples

Word Counts in Python and Scala

```
text_file = sc . textFile ('hdfs ://... ')
counts = (text_file.file() \n  <math>\text{lambda line} : line.split() \n ).map(lambda word: (word, 1))
                      . reduceByKey (lambda a, b: a + b)counts . saveAsTextFile ('hdfs ://... ')
```

```
val textFile = sc. textFile('hdfs://...')val counts = textFile.flatMap(line=> line.split(''))
                      . map (wrod \equiv) (word, 1). reduceByKey ( - + - )counts . saveAsTextFile ('hdfs ://... ')
```
Computing  $\pi$  in Python and Scala

```
import random
def inside () :
    x, y = random.random(), random.random()
    return x * x + y * y < 1count = ( sc . parallelize ( range ( NUM_SAMPLES ) )
            . filter ( inside ) . count () )
print (f'pi is roughly {4.0 * count / NUM_SAMPLES')
```

```
val count = sc.parallelize (1 to NUM_SAMPLES)
               . filter \{- =>
                         val x = math . random
                         val y = math . random
                         x * x + y * y < 1}. count ()
println (s'pi is roughly ${4.0 * count / NUM_SAMPLES}')
```
## <span id="page-21-0"></span>11.5.5 Spark SQL

Spark is not an RDBMS and joins in Spark SQL suck. Below is an example of the word counts example in Spark SQL.

Note: HAVING is only available in pure SQL.

```
data = spark . read . format ('csv ') . option ('header ', 'true ') . load ('data . csv ')
data . printSchema () # prints the schema to the data frame .
word_counts = ( data . withColumn (' cleaned_text ', cleantext ( data ) )
                      . select (' cleaned_text ')
                       . withColumn ('token ', explode (' cleaned_text ') )
                       . select ('token ')
                       . where (" token != 'the '")
                       . groupBy ('token ')
                       . count ()
                       . orderBy ( desc ('count ') )
                       . head (20) )
word_counts . explain ()
word_counts . write . csv ('... ')
```
# <span id="page-22-0"></span>Lecture 12

# <span id="page-22-1"></span>12.1 (Unbounded) Streaming Data Systems

## Definition: Lambda Architecture

The lambda architecture processes massive quantities of data by using both batch and realtime/stream processing (speed).

Examples of streams include:

- $\rightarrow$  stdin/out and pipes
- $\rightarrow$  TCP connections
- $\rightarrow$  Realtime audio/video and sensor measurements
- $\rightarrow$  Realtime data (e.g. tweets, stock prices, etc.)

Data streams (events/messages)<sup>[1](#page-22-5)</sup> can be of any format (e.g. plaintext, JSON, etc.). Each event is written once, but may be read multiple times, and may have a key to identify itself (e.g. timestamp, IP addr, etc.) and/or other identification.

We can store these in a database, but usually we want to act on events as they come, and possibly without storing them.

### <span id="page-22-2"></span>12.1.1 Producer/Consumer

There are two ends or "taps" for processing events:

#### Definition: Producer and Consumer

The producer (publisher, sender) creates an event.

The consumer (subscriber, recipient) receives and processes or hands off an event.

If we want to use an RDBMS, the producers would generate events (rows) continuously into the database while the consumers constantly poll the database for new events. However, polling (querying) is very expensive and increaeses latency.

So, rather than "pulling" from a data store, we want to "push" notifications onto the consumers. RDBMS can use triggers to do this, but it's limiting.

### <span id="page-22-3"></span>12.1.2 Messsage Bus

A message bus sits between producers and consumers, where each producer is connected to every consumer and vice-versa (creating a bipartite graph). Some issues of this approach is:

- $\rightarrow$  Producers must be hardcoded to the consumers.
- $\rightarrow$  The producers are all independent, so if one producer goes down, there is no way to compensate
- $\rightarrow$  If a consumer becomes unavailable, we have to manually spin up a new one.
- $\rightarrow$  Consumers could be overwhelmed; i.e. producers will produce faster than consumers can consume.

<span id="page-22-5"></span><span id="page-22-4"></span><sup>1</sup> I will refer to them as "events".

## 12.1.3 Data Integrity

We discuss two use cases:

- (1) Replicate to RDBMS.
- (2) Compute an aggregate metric (over unbounded data).

**Data Loss:** In  $(1)$ , it is *bad.* In  $(2)$ , if the messages are missing at random, it is (usually) not *bad.* 

**Duplicate Messages:** In  $(1)$ , it is not bad assuming the message has a key. In  $(2)$ , if receiving duplicates at random, it is (usually) not bad.

Out of Order Messages: In  $(1)$ , it is not bad. In  $(2)$ , it is not bad unless we do sequence mining.

TCP or UDP: Depending on the use case, we may want a TCP or UDP connection. If we to keep a connection alive between a producer and a consumer along with ordered packets and guaranteed delivery (retransmission), use TCP. Otherwise, UDP is good enough.

Classifying Streaming Architectures: We can classify systems based on how they react to the following:

- $\rightarrow$  What happens when if the producers send messages faster than the consumers can process them? Do we drop or buffer messages? Do we apply backpressure?
- $\rightarrow$  What happens if one or more nodes (particularly consumers) go offline?

# <span id="page-23-0"></span>12.2 Message Broker

## Definition: Message Broker

The message broker sits inside the message bus and has a message queue. The producers and consumers interact with the message broker.

When a message is sent to the broker, it enqueues the message and sends an ACK back to the producer. It then tries to send it to the consumer(s). The broker then waits for an ACK from the consumer before dequeuing the message. Otherwise, it stays in the buffer (queue).

While it's usually okay ot lose *some* messages, we want to minimize the loss. One way we cna do this is using an ACK system.

### <span id="page-23-1"></span>12.2.1 Unavailable Consumer

When conumers become too busy or become unavailable, the system can either apply flow control or spin up more consumers. If we are willing to tolerate some message loss, we ca:

- $\rightarrow$  Delete the *oldest* message(s) from the queue. This is okay if we are working with relevant "current" data.
- $\rightarrow$  Delete random message(s) from the queue. This is okay if we are computing aggregates.

## <span id="page-23-2"></span>12.2.2 Producer to Broker

<span id="page-23-3"></span>Supose our TTL is 5 seconds. If the message is queued successfully on the broker  $B$ , the broker sends an ACK to the producer  $P$  and  $P$  dequeues the message. If the message is lost,  $P$  retransmits. If the message is corrupted in transit, B either does nothing or sends a NACK. P then retransmits up to TTL. If ACK gets lost,  $P$  assumes  $B$  didn't receive the message, so it will retransmit. This implies that  $B$  may receive duplicates.

## 12.2.3 Broker to Consumer

Suppose our TTL is 5 seconds. If the message is processed successfully, the consumer C sends an ACK and the broker  $B$  dequeues the message. If the message is lost,  $B$  retransmits. If the message is corrupted in transit, C either does nothing or sends a NACK. B then retransmits up to TTL. If ACK gets lost, B assumes C didn't receive the message, so it will retransmit. This implies that C may receive duplicates and B may back up with messages.

# <span id="page-24-0"></span>12.2.4 Unreliable Broker

If we have an unreliable broker  $B$ , the producer  $P$  should dequeue the message when it receives an ACK from the consumer C via  $B$ . Some sources of message loss include: lossy networks,  $P, B, C$  is offline or overflows with messages.

# <span id="page-24-1"></span>12.2.5 Consumers

Multiple consumers can read the same topic stream. But the broker determines which one gets the message in two ways.

Load Balancing: The broker will choose one consumer to receive the message either arbitrarily or based on some shard/partition key.

Fan-out: The broker will deliver the message to all consumers in particular groups. Then each node may do something different with the message. This is similar to multiplexing (e.g. dual writes).

Purpose: No single data system can meet all use cases. Therefore, we may want to have the following architecture:

- $\rightarrow$  OLTP and RDBMS for production uses.
- $\rightarrow$  OLAP/DW for analytics.
- $\rightarrow$  A search engine for quick lookups.
- $\rightarrow$  A cache for frequently accessed elements.

All of these systems need to stay in sync, and a streaming system is one way to accomplish this. Another is by using an ETL job.

# <span id="page-24-2"></span>12.3 Stream Operations

We can do several things with streams, including:

- $\rightarrow$  writing events to an RDBMS or other data systems to keep them in sync.
- $\rightarrow$  pushing events to users (notifications) or dashboarding.
- $\rightarrow$  processing the stream and trurn it into other data/another stream.
- $\rightarrow$  computing aggregate summaries of the stream.

# <span id="page-24-3"></span>12.4 Aggregates

<span id="page-24-4"></span>We do not have access to all of the data in a stream, so we typically process data either over a particular window  $w$  of time or over time. The computations can be either exact or approximations.

## 12.4.1 Windows

The consumers maintain a memory buffer containing a fixed number of messages. We then compute an aggregation over the parts of the buffer or at specific time intervals over the entire buffer. There are various types of computation windows.

Tumbling Window: Tumbling windows are non-overlapping windows of time and are consecutive. This means the intervals are disjoint. For example, if we compute at the start of every hour over a time length of one hour, our intervals are disjoint.

Hopping Window: Hopping windows are fixed-length periods, but time intervals may overlap. This way, there are no hard breaks in the windows since the intervals are not disjoint, giving us some continuity. For example, if we compute at the start of every hour over a time length of one hour and fifteen minutes, we have a fifteen minute overlap.

**Sliding Window:** Sliding windows are constructed with some time period  $\varepsilon$  around each event.

Session Window: Session windows define an entire session from the first event of a particular type to the last event (inefficient).

<span id="page-25-0"></span>Note: Sliding and session window sizes are defined by the events.

# 12.4.2 Stragglers

Some straggler events that should have been processed in  $w_{t-1}$  may not arrive until some time after  $w_{t-1}$ has been closed and processed. If we want to compute some metric over the window, we can:

- (1) ignore stragglers.
- (2) keep the  $w_{t-1}$  open for some  $\delta$  time to capture stragglers.
- (3) offer a correction if we store the metric associated with  $w_{t-1}$ .
- (4) include the straggler in  $w_t$ 's metric calculation.

Note that (2) requires us to keep an extra window open. (3) requires an updatable statistic.

## <span id="page-25-1"></span>12.4.3 Update Rules

We can compute aggregates using an update rule. For example, if we want to keep an average, we need to store the sum and the count. Our update rule would then be  $\text{sum}_t = \text{sum}_{t-1} + x$  and  $\text{count}_t = \text{count}_{t-1} + 1$ then compute  $\frac{\text{sum}_t}{\text{count}_t}$ .

# <span id="page-25-2"></span>12.5 Special Aggregations

<span id="page-25-3"></span>Some special aggregation tasks include set containment, duplicate removal, and distinct counts.

## 12.5.1 Bloom Filter

A **bloom filter** is a probabilistic data structure that may return false positives; i.e.  $x \in F$  when  $x \notin F$  for our bloom filter  $F$ . It is defined as a bit string with length  $m$  and is associated with  $k$  hash functions.

Algorithm: To check if we have already seen an element  $x \in S$  for a set S,

- (1) compute a hash function (mod) on x:  $h_1(x), \ldots, h_k(x)$ .
- (2) Each hash mod is the position in the array, with  $k$  positions total.
- (3) Check the bits in each of these positions. If all of the bits are 1's,  $x \in F$  with probability p and may have seen x before. Otherwise,  $x \notin F$ .
- (4) If  $x \notin F$ , set the bit at each position to 1.

Note: There may be hash collisions, which is why we may return a false positive. We can minimize this by maintaining a low ratio of hash functions to bit length  $m$ , as well as controlling the number of entries in the bloom filter.

Example  
\nSuppose we have a bloom filter F with length 
$$
m = 10
$$
 and  $k = 3$ . Our initial filter looks like  
\n
$$
\frac{0}{0} = \frac{1}{0} = \frac{2}{0} = \frac{3}{0} = \frac{4}{0} = \frac{5}{0} = \frac{6}{0} = \frac{7}{0} = \frac{8}{0}
$$
\nwith all entries 0.  
\n
$$
h_1("ucla'') = 2, h_2("ucla'') = 4, h_3("ucla'') = 5, \text{ so } F \text{ becomes}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{0}{0} = \frac{1}{0} = \frac{2}{0} = \frac{3}{0} = \frac{4}{0} = \frac{5}{0} = \frac{6}{0} = \frac{7}{0} = \frac{8}{0}
$$
\nwith no collisions.  
\n
$$
h_1("bruins'') = 0, h_2("bruins'') = 6, h_3("bruins'') = 9, \text{ so } F \text{ becomes}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{0}{1} = \frac{1}{0} = \frac{2}{0} = \frac{3}{0} = \frac{4}{0} = \frac{5}{0} = \frac{7}{0} = \frac{8}{0} = \frac{9}{0}
$$
\nwith no collisions.  
\n
$$
h_1("westwood'') = 3, h_2("westwood'') = 5, h_3("westwood'') = 6, \text{ so } F \text{ becomes}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{0}{1} = \frac{1}{0} = \frac{2}{1} = \frac{3}{0} = \frac{4}{0} = \frac{5}{0} = \frac{7}{0} = \frac{8}{0} = \frac{9}{1}
$$
\nwith collisions at 5 and 6.  
\n
$$
h_1("wooden'') = 2, h_2("wooden'') = 4, h_3("wooden'') = 8, \text{ so } F \text{ becomes}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{0}{1} = \frac{1}{0} = \frac{2}{0} = \frac{3}{0} = \frac{4}{0} = \frac{5}{0} = \frac{8}{0} = \frac{9}{0}
$$
\nwith collisions at 2 and 4. There is no false positive since  $F[8] \neq 1$ .  
\n
$$
h_1("boo_2use'') = 0, h_2("boo_2use'
$$

The probability of a false positive is  $p_{false\ positive} = (1 - e^{\frac{kn}{m}})^k$  where k is the number of hash functions,  $m$  is the number of bits, and  $n$  is the number of entries.

# <span id="page-26-0"></span>12.5.2 HyperLogLog

A HyperLogLog is similar to a bloom filter, but we treat the bitstring as a binary number and use run lengths and probability to provide an estimate of the number of distinct objects in the set. It is spatially efficient since we can count approximately four billion distinct elements using only 5 bits since  $log(log(2^{32}))$  = 5. They have an average error rate of two percent. To use, you would construct a HyperLogLog for each window of messages, and for each key being counted.

# <span id="page-27-0"></span>Lecture 13

# <span id="page-27-1"></span>13.1 NoSQL

## Definition: NoSQL

NoSQL refers to two concepts:

- (1) A database system where the DML and DDL are not SQL.
- (2) A database system that does not use the relational model. They are usually document-oriented stores, network/graph databases, or key-value stores.

Common models used for NoSQL are:

- $\rightarrow$  Key-Value stores (Redis)
- $\rightarrow$  Columnar (Cassandra)
- $\rightarrow$  Document store (MongoDB)
- $\rightarrow$  Graph (Neo4j)

## <span id="page-27-2"></span>13.1.1 The CAP Theorem

# Theorem: (CAP Theorem)

A distributed system can satisfy at most two out of the three when a network partition or failure occurs.

- (1) Consistency: Every read receives the most recent write, or an error occurs.
- (2) Availability: Every request receives some kind of response, not necessarily a correct one.
- (3) Partition tolerance: The system continues to function even if messages are lost or delayed.

<span id="page-27-3"></span>Distributed systems require partition tolerance, so they can be consistent or highly available, not both.

## 13.1.2 Key-Value Stores (Redis)

Redis stores values by keys but can also store data structures. It has interesting data types:

- $\rightarrow$  Single key-value pairs
- $\rightarrow$  Hash tables
- $\rightarrow$  HyperLogLog and Bloom Filters
- $\rightarrow$  Streams
- $\rightarrow$  Geospatial (geohash) including radius queries

CAP Theorem: Redis operates on a single node configuration. Therefore, the CAP theorem does not apply. Typical use cases include: session caching, message queues, leaderboards, fast lookup/indexing.

Example

If we have a distributed cache, then our system would now be available and partition tolerant, since we are now a distributed system.

## <span id="page-28-0"></span>13.1.3 Columnar Database (Cassandra)

A columnar database is similar to the relational model, but rows can have different numbers of columns, and adding columns is simple. Given n fixed columns, each row uses a subset of  $m \leq n$  columns.

In Cassandra, every node is equal; i.e. there are no masters or workers. This is done by using the gossip protocol, making this database eventually consistent.

Columnar databases are designed to be denormalized for fast lookups, and can be used as data warehouses. Queries written against Cassandra cannot use joins or subqueries, since it isn't relational. There is no concept of a foreign key, but primary keys exist. Rows are also strictly ordered.

<span id="page-28-1"></span>CAP Theorem: Cassandra is available and partition tolerant.

### 13.1.4 Document Store (MongoDB)

A document store takes in full documents of information in a particular format (e.g. JSON/BSON). Documents are schemaless.

In MongoDB, documents (records) are schemaless and stored as a BSON. Records are organized into collections, which are organized into databases; i.e. records  $\subsetneq$  collections  $\subsetneq$  databases.

Find: The find query looks like:

```
db . Books . find (
         { " category ": " programming " } , // Where ( WHERE )
         {1 " i d": 0, "title": 1 } // Projection (SELECT)
         \lambda. sort ( { "title": 1 } ) // Sort ( SORT). limit (5); \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}
```
Aggregation: The aggregate query looks like:

```
db . Books . aggregate ([
    {
        "$match": { "category": "programming" } // Match (WHERE)
    } ,
    // Group ( GROUP BY)
    // Note; _ id: null for global aggregates.
    {
        " $group ": { "_id ": " $language ", " AvgPrice ": { " $avg ": " $price " } }
   } ,
    {
        "$project": { "_id": 1, "AvgPrice": 1 } // Projection (SELECT)
    } ,
    {
        "\$sort": {\n  { "AvgPrice": -1 } } \n} // Sort (SORT)
    } ,
    { " $limit ": 10 } // Limit ( LIMIT )
]) ;
```
Differences from RDBMS: There is a lot of redundancy and no concept of normalization. All related data stays together, even if it means duplicating it. There is no concept of a JOIN and no schema.

CAP Theorem: MongoDB ensures strong consistency by allowing writes only on the primary replica. The master is the single point of failure. We can improve availability using secondary replica sets or one or more copies of the exact same data. On each write, the secondaries must acknowledge the write or else it fails. All reads are done on the primary replica by default. If it fails, we can defer to one of the secondary replicas. Therefore, by default Mongo is consistent and partition tolerant.

## <span id="page-29-0"></span>13.1.5 Graph Database (Neo4j)

In a graph database, data is stored as either a node (vertex) or an edge. Nodes represent entities (relations) and edges represent relationships (foreign keys). Nodes and edges can have attributes called properties. Each node and edge can also have a label (type) associated with it. Edges are usually directed for semantic reasons, but can be traversed in either direction. We an also have self loops.

Neo4j (Cypher) has two common constructs.

Match: MATCH specifies a node-relationship-node for pattern matching.

Where: WHERE is similar to the SQL WHERE, but applies to the properties of nodes.

### Example

An example query looks like:

```
MATCH ( gene : Person { name : " Gene Wilder "}) -[ ACTED_IN ] - >{ movie : Movie }
WHERE movie . year < $yearParameter
RETURN movie ;
```
with the format: var:Label { name: "to\_match"})-[var:EdgeLabel]->{var:Label}. In the example above, we look for a node with type Person and name "Gene Wilder", assigning a temporary name "gene". Then we extract only the ACTED IN edges. On the other side, we extract nodes of type Movie and assign a temporary name "movie" to them. Then we return all movies that aired before some \$yearParameter.

Neo4j supports, but doesn't require schemas that define indices and constraints:

- $\rightarrow$  Unique node
- $\rightarrow$  Node property existence
- $\rightarrow$  Relationship property existence
- $\rightarrow$  Constraints aside from uniqueness (pay to win)
- $\rightarrow$  Many data types. Properties can be standard or composite types.

```
// unique node .
CREATE CONSTRAINT constraint_name IF NOT EXISTS ON (n:LabelName)
ASSERT n . propertyName IS UNIQUE ;
// node property existence .
CREATE CONSTRAINT constraint_name IF NOT EXISTS ON (n:LabelName)
ASSERT EXISTS (n.propertyName);
// edge property existence .
CREATE CONSTRAINT constraint_name IF NOT EXISTS
ON () - "['R: RELATIONSHIP_TYPE"]" - ()ASSERT EXISTS (R. propertyName);
```
with the format: var:Label { name: "to\_match"})-[var:EdgeLabel]->{var:Label}. In the example above, we look for a node with type Person and name "Gene Wilder", assigning a temporary name "gene". Then we extract only the ACTED IN edges. On the other side, we extract nodes of type Movie and assign a temporary name "movie" to them. Then we return all movies that aired before some \$yearParameter.

JOIN's are relational but the equivalent in graph databases is traversing a graph.

CAP Theorem: Neo4j is not distributed or sharded, so CAP theorem doesn't apply. It is not distributed due to clustering.

# <span id="page-31-0"></span>Lecture 14

# <span id="page-31-1"></span>14.1 Disk

The storage engine/manager is responsible for managing the data in memory and on disk. many systems optimize data storage for rows, but some optimize for columns. Some database systems store data entirely in RAM (e.g. Redis), while others use swapping. It is worth noting that disk is very slow.

There are various data storage methods:

- $\rightarrow$  RAM is fast, expensive, random access, and volatile.
- $\rightarrow$  SSD's are faster than HDD's, aren't as expensive, have fast random access, and are non-volatile.
- $\rightarrow$  HDD's are cheap, slow, and have bad failure rates.
- $\rightarrow$  Optical is very slow.
- $\rightarrow$  Tape is very very slow, but it's cheap and stores data sequentially.
- $\rightarrow$  Cloud is variable.

## <span id="page-31-2"></span>14.1.1 Disk Performance

There are various metrics for performance:

- $\rightarrow$  Access time is the time from a read/write request to block transfer.
- $\rightarrow$  Seek time is the time from the head to move from parked to a particular sector.
- $\rightarrow$  Rotational latency is the time it takes to find a sector *once the head is on the track*.
- $\rightarrow$  Data transfer rate is the time it takes to transfer a sector to RAM.
- $\rightarrow$  Mean time between failures (MTBF) is the average time between disk failures.

### <span id="page-31-3"></span>14.1.2 Disk Organization and Access

Data on disk is addressed by a **block number**. Data is transferred between RAM and disk in terms of blocks (page). Accessing a disk can be done sequentially or at random.

Sequential Access: We access blocks in a predetermined order, usually contiguously.

Random Access: Blocks are distributed randomly throughout the disk.

**Mitigating Disk I/O:** There are several techniques to reduce the disk I/O:

- $\rightarrow$  Buffering: We can cache *n* blocks in a buffer.
- $\rightarrow$  Read-ahead: We can fetch  $b_{i+j}$  when we read  $b_i$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Scheduling: We group read/write requests by cylinder.
- $\rightarrow$  File organization: Organize records/blocks on disk in a particular way.
- $\rightarrow$  Non-volatile write buffers. See below.

Non-Volatile Write Buffers: If there are a lot of writes taking place, we may have to wait before we can write the block to disk. We can queue it in a Non-Volatile RAM (NVRAM) while we wait. This way, if the power cuts, the NVRAM queue will be emptied onto disk. If NVRAM fills up, the database will block.

# <span id="page-32-0"></span>14.2 Records into Files

A database maps records  $\rightarrow$  blocks  $\rightarrow$  files. One or more records fit into a block and one or more blocks form a file. We will assume records  $\subseteq$  blocks  $\subseteq$  files  $\subseteq$  relation, where block size is 4KB.

# <span id="page-32-1"></span>14.2.1 Fixed Blocks

One approach is to store records in a linked list, where records are fixed size. Then indexing becomes  $n \cdot block \text{ size. There are several issues. If the block size is not a multiple of the record size, we waste space.}$ When we delete records, we have to rearrange pointers. We can remedy this by addding a pointer to the first empty record.

Deletes: Deleting is assumed to be rare in databases, but inserts are not. If we want to insert a record, we look for the block it should belong to and, if there is space, insert. If there is no space, we construct an overflow block.

Overflow Blocks: A file may start out as sequential and contiguous access on disk. However, over time we may need to construct overflow blocks which break contiguity. This is acceptable when overflow blocks are rare, but over time, the performance suffers and so we need to rebuild the data file.

# <span id="page-32-2"></span>14.2.2 Variable-sized Blocks

We can split the record into two parts: a fixed part and a variable-length part. It looks like the following: A table of contents points to the variable-sized attributes followed by the data for the fixed sized attributes, a null bitmap that partitions the table of contents and fixed data from the variable-length data, and the data for the variale-length attributes. We store a pointer to each record in the file header. The format for the table of contents is (start\_byte, length), and are 4 bytes wide. The null bitmap indicates which fields are null.

# <span id="page-32-3"></span>14.2.3 Records into Blocks

Records are stored in blocks. Each block contains a header with: the number of records in the block, a pointer to the end of the free space in the block, and the location and size of each record.

## Aside

Given the previous discussion, ALTER TABLE is a bad idea since it changes the format and size of the record. After modification, the record now needs to store extra information, which may lead to the creation of overflow blocks since the size of each record may have grown and may exhaust free space.

# <span id="page-33-0"></span>Lecture 15

# <span id="page-33-1"></span>15.1 Files to Indices

Since records were stored sequentially, finding a specific record by key requires scanning the full file. So, lookup takes  $\mathcal{O}(B)$  block transfers for B blocks, with an average of  $\frac{B}{2}$ . This would be required for every JOIN, WHERE, etc.

# <span id="page-33-2"></span>15.2 Indexing

An index works as follows: A search key (value) is put into an indexing function, which returns the block address and byte offset of the particular  $record(s)$ . There are two types of indices:

- $\rightarrow$  Ordered indices are based on a sorted ordering of the values of the sort-key. It requires sequential (maybe contiguous) access.
- $\rightarrow$  Hash indices are based on a uniform distribution of values across a range of buckets. This is random access.

An index is usually too large to fit into RAM, so it must be stored on disk. Therefore, we get the best performance when we read the index file sequentially. If the entire index fits in RAM, the key lookups in the index are trivial. This still requires us to read it into RAM.

# <span id="page-33-3"></span>15.3 Index Sequential Access Methods (ISAM)

<span id="page-33-4"></span>Ordered indices can be (1) primary (clustering) or (2) secondary (non-clustering) and (1) dense or (2) sparse.

# 15.3.1 Primary/Clustering Index

In a primary/clustering index, we pick a search key. The keys in both the index and records are sorted by the search key. This dictates the order of the underlying data file. It does not need to be a primary or unique key, though it usually is. There is at most one primary index on a table. The primary index returns a block number and a byte offset that points to the specific record in the file. If there are duplicates, we point only to the first matching record.

Dense: If the index is dense, there is an index for every record.

**Sparse:** If the index is **sparse**, we only keep  $k < n$  indices for *n* records. When querying, we have to search for the largest index that is less than the requested record and do a linear search. A sparse index implies that we may be able to read the entire index into RAM. To minimize block transfers, we may want to add the first search-key in each block into our index.

<span id="page-33-5"></span>Pros and Cons: Primary indices are good for range queries and minimal block reads. However, it is difficult to maintain contiguity on disk because inserting a record into a full block requires creating overflow blocks. Over time, the file needs to be rebuilt to restore contiguity.

# 15.3.2 Secondary/Non-Clustering Index

In a **secondary/non-clustering index**, the data file is sorted by some search key different from the one used to create the index. Secondary indices can only be dense. This is because the order of the indices are different from the order of the data. For duplicate keys, secondary indices must point to both records.

# <span id="page-34-0"></span> $15.4$  B<sup>+</sup>-Trees

## Definition: B+-Tree

A  $B^+$ -Tree adds an extra layer atop an ordered index that points to individual keys. The root and interior nodes form a sparse index, while the leaves form a dense index and contain the keys that point to records. Each level represents one disk seek and block transfer.

Under a purely sequential index, data is initially stored contiguously, but may devolve to random access due to overflows. Eventually, it degrades the performance of the index and we may have to rebuild the index and data file. By organizing the index into a  $B^+$ -tree, we can guarantee high record-search performance.

Full Table Scan and Full Index Scan: In a full table scan, we transfer blocks to search for records by following record pointers. But in a full index scan, we transfer blocks in order to search for the keys, then do an additional search for the actual record.

## <span id="page-34-1"></span>15.4.1 Notation

The **height** of the tree h is defined to be the number of nodes from root to leaf, *counting both* (counting fence posts). The left pointers are *strictly less than*  $(<)$  and right pointers are *greater than or equal to*  $(>)$  the node value.

**Leaf Nodes:** A leaf node contains (up to) n pointers and (up to)  $n-1$  key-values. For a B<sup>+</sup>-Tree to maintain balance, a leaf node must contain at least  $\left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil$  and at most  $n-1$  key-values. Leaves form a dense index. As such, each leaf points to the next leaf node.

<span id="page-34-2"></span>**Root and Internal Nodes:** A root and internal node contains at least  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$  pointers and (up to) *n* pointers.

## 15.4.2 Time and Space Complexities

Insertion and deletion are both  $\mathcal{O}(\log_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} K)$  for K keys and an *n*-ary tree. There is also additional space overhead from the internal nodes. There may also be wasted space since nodes need only be half full. However, it is more efficient than rebuilding the index.

## <span id="page-34-3"></span>15.4.3 Disk I/O Costs



<span id="page-34-4"></span>where  $t<sub>S</sub>$  is one block seek and  $t<sub>T</sub>$  is one block transfer.

## 15.4.4 Search

Use your eyes lol. The disk complexity to search for one key is  $h(t<sub>S</sub> + t<sub>T</sub>)$  operations.

**Range Queries:** For  $\{k : k \in [i, j]\}$ , we search for i and collect record pointers until we reach j. For an inclusive range query, we do  $h(t_s + t_T) + (\lceil \frac{j-i+1}{n} \rceil)t_T$  operations, where n is the branching factor.

## <span id="page-35-0"></span>15.4.5 Insertion

We show insertion through example:



### <span id="page-35-1"></span>15.4.6 Indexes in SQL

```
CREATE INDEX name_of_index ON table
[ USING (btree | hash | gist | spgist | gin | brin) ]
(
    attr1 [ASC | DESC] [NULLS { FIRST | LAST }],
    ...
) ;
```
<span id="page-35-2"></span>by default, creates a  $B^+$ -tree on  $\text{attr1. A primary key automatically defines an index.}$ 

# 15.5 Hash Indices

Hash indices are good at equality queries, but not good at range queries. Each key  $k_i$  points to a bucket  $b_i$ via a hash function  $h: K \to B$ . This bucket points to a linked list of records in RAM, or blocks on disk matching key  $h(k_i)$ .

### <span id="page-35-3"></span>15.5.1 Search

We compute  $h(k_i)$  to get bucket  $b_i$  and iterate through the blocks/records to find the one we are looking for. We might have to iterate through due to hash collisions.

### <span id="page-35-4"></span>15.5.2 Deletion

To delete a key, compute  $h(k_i)$  and find all records matching  $k_i$  and delete them from the block/list of records.

## <span id="page-35-5"></span>15.5.3 Bucket Overflow

We might have **insufficient buckets**, so it devolves into a linear search. Another issue we may have is bucket skew, where many records hash to the same bucket, leading to a non-uniform distribution. One formula for determining the number of buckets is  $|B| = 1.2 \cdot \frac{n_r}{f}$  where  $n_r$  is the number of records and f is records per bucket.

# <span id="page-36-0"></span>Lecture 16

# <span id="page-36-1"></span>16.1 Spatial Indexing

If we want to extract other points that are within a certain distance of a user, we can use a nearest neighbor query. In one dimension, we simply divide and conquer.

## <span id="page-36-2"></span>16.1.1 kd-Trees and Ball Trees

In higher dimensions we can use a  $kd$ -tree. We partition our search space, and recursively perform a n-ary search over the space remaining. Note that a kd-tree will not center you. Rather, it finds all points in a particular search space. A ball tree uses a radius instead of axes.

### Example

If we want to find all points relative to a particular location, we filter based on the desired conditions. Then, we query the location of the person in the kd-tree and return the results within a certain boundary (polygon).

# <span id="page-36-3"></span>16.2 Query Plans

There are many ways to write queries. The optimizer will convert a query into an efficient **query plan** that is invisible to the user. It is similar to compiling code into byte code. Query processing has three steps:

- (1) The query gets parsed and translated into relational algebra.
- (2) We perform a series of query-optimizing transformations.
- (3) We evaluate the query using statistics.

Since  $(1)$  is trivial, we start at  $(2)$ .

#### Example

Different queries may lead to the exact same result set. For example,

```
SELECT a
FROM r
WHERE c > 1000;
```
can be written as either

(1)  $\sigma_{c>1000}(\Pi_{a,c}(r))$ 

(2)  $\Pi_{a,c}(\sigma_{c>1000}(r))$ 

After we parse the query into their relational algebra equivalent(s), we determine the "better" query. However, the RDBMS needs to know a variety of things to estimate the better query. Some of these metrics include:

(1) Estimated block transfers and seek.

(2) Number of tuples.

- $(3)$  Current CPU/RAM state<sup>[1](#page-37-2)</sup>.
- (4) Data or Network transfer speed.
- (5) Disk space.
- (6) Time.

## <span id="page-37-0"></span>16.2.1 Estimating Cost

For data operation, we compute the execution time or the block reads and disk seeks; i.e. disk I/O.

Note: In this class, the disk seek is defined as the time it takes for the head of the disk to find a particular sector from a parked state. It is not a seek if you're already on the same track.

in our computations,  $t_T$  will be the time it takes to transfer one block from disk to memory, and  $t_S$  the average block access time (seek time + rotational latency). The amount of time to transfer B blocks with  $S$ random accesses is then

$$
Bt_T+St_S
$$

# <span id="page-37-1"></span>16.3 Select

Given a SELECT  $*$  FROM r WHERE  $\Psi$ , we can naively perform a full table scan which reads every single record in a file when there is no primary key. This becomes  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time for n records, or  $\mathcal{O}(B)$  time for B blocks.

In the following examples, let  $b_r$  be the number of blocks in the file,  $t_T$  the time it takes to transfer one block, and  $t<sub>S</sub>$  the seek time.

Example

Suppose we have a sequental file *without an index*, and we want to find records that match on some non-key attribute. The total execution time is

 $t_S + b_r \cdot t_T$ 

Notice that we seek to the start of the file before performing (up to)  $b_r \cdot t_T$  block transfers.

If the blocks are not stored contiguously, then in the worst case, we seek for every block to get

 $b_r(t_T + t_S)$ 

<span id="page-37-2"></span><sup>1</sup>Postgres uses this one.

If we search for a key, then the worst case is

 $t_S + b_R \cdot t_T$ 

and happens when the record either DNE or is in the last block. The best case is

 $t_S + t_T$ 

and happens when the record is in the first block. The average time is

$$
t_S + \frac{b_r \cdot t_T}{2}
$$

If we index by a primay  $B^+$ -tree, then traversing from root to leaf is

 $h(t_S + t_T)$ 

Searching for a record pointer in the block requires 0 disk I/O since the block is read into RAM. Retrieving the associated key is

 $t_S + t_T$ 

so the total time spent on disk I/O is

$$
h(t_S + t_T) + t_S + t_T = (h + 1)(t_S + t_T)
$$

**Range Queries:** Suppose we want to perform a query on v such that  $k > v$ . Then, we want to find v which takes

$$
h(t_S + t_T) + t_S
$$

time to get the first record. Fetching all records after takes  $b t_T$  for b remaining blocks at worst.

$$
h(t_S + t_T) + t_S + bt_T
$$

To get  $k \leq v$ , we can just process the records starting from the beginning via full index scan *instead of* a B<sup>+</sup>-tree until we get  $k = v$ . This means it takes

 $t_S + bt_T$ 

<span id="page-38-0"></span>for b remaining blocks.

# 16.4 Joins

### <span id="page-38-1"></span>16.4.1 Nested-Loop Join

A nested-loop join is a brute force way to compute  $R \bowtie_{\theta} S$ . The algorithm is

```
result = \{\}for each tuple t_r \in R:
     for each tuple t_s \in S:
          if pair (t_r, t_s) satisfies \theta:
               result = result \cup (t_r, t_s)return result
```
where the left-hand side is the **outer** relation and the right-hand side is the **inner** relation. This algorithm does not require an index, and thus is very slow. There are also no restrictions on  $\theta$ . If we have  $n_R$  tuples in R and  $n_S$  tuples in S, we get  $\mathcal{O}(n_R \cdot n_S)$  time complexity.

Suppose we have  $n_R \cdot n_S$  pairs of tuples. For every record in R, we have to do a full table scan of S. Let  $b_R$  and  $b_S$  be the number of blocks in R and S respectively. Then the total number of seeks and block transfers are

 $b_R + n_R$ 

and

 $n_B \cdot b_S + b_R$ 

respectively.

## Example

Suppose  $R \bowtie S = S \bowtie R$ . If one relation fits entirely in memory, then its blocks are read in exactly once. If  $R$  fits entirely into memory, then we perform

```
1 + 1 = 2
```
block seeks and

 $b_R + b_S$ 

block transfers if  $R$  is the **inner** relation and

 $1 + n_R$ 

block seeks and

 $b_R + n_R \cdot b_S$ 

block transfers if  $R$  is the **outer** relation.

## <span id="page-39-0"></span>16.4.2 Block Nested-Loop Join

A **block nested-loop join** is a nested-loop join but we process the outer relation by *block* rather than by tuple. The algorithm is

```
result = \{\}for each block B_R \in R:
     for each block B_S \in S:
          for each tuple t_r \in R:
               for each tuple t_s \in S:
                    if pair (t_r, t_s) satisfies \theta:
                         result = result \cup (t_r, t_s)return result
```
For each block in R, we load it, so we get  $b_R$  blocks. we load  $b_S$  blocks for each block in R to get

$$
b_R + b_r \cdot b_S = b_R(b_S + 1)
$$

block transfers and

2br

<span id="page-39-1"></span>block seeks.

### 16.4.3 Indexed Nested-Loop Join

An **indexed nested-loop join** is a nested-loop join but we put an index (using a  $B^+$ -tree or hash) on the inner relation. The algorithm is: For each tuple  $t_R \in R$ , look up the key from  $t_R \in S$  and retrieve all matching tuples to perform a join. This way, once we have an index for  $S$ , we only need to scan  $R$  to perform the join. Scanning  $R$  takes

 $b_R(t_T + t_S)$ 

time and indexing takes

 $cn_R$ 

where c is the disk cost of looking up a record from an index. Thus, the total time is

$$
b_R(t_T + t_S) + cn_R
$$

in a B<sup>+</sup>-tree,  $c = (h+1)(t<sub>S</sub>+t<sub>T</sub>)$ , so we get

$$
b_R(t_T+t_S) + n_R(h+1)(t_S+t_T)
$$

### <span id="page-40-0"></span>16.4.4 Merge Join

An **merge join** sorts both relation before joining them. We sort on  $R \cap S$ . This becomes an interleaved linear scan since we only scan each relation once. Then the number of block seeks is

$$
\left\lceil \frac{b_R}{b_B} + \frac{b_S}{b_B} \right\rceil
$$

and the number of block transfers is

 $b_R + b_S$ 

<span id="page-40-1"></span>where  $b_B$  is the buffer size.

## 16.4.5 Hash Join

An hash join has a build side and a probe side. The build side loads as many blocks  $w$  that fit into RAM, building a hash table on it. Then we do a full table scan over  $S$  and check if the hash is in  $S$ . Then we load in the next w blocks, repeating this process. We do  $|w|$  windows of blocks worth of full table scans on S. We then post-filter since we might have hash collisions.

# <span id="page-40-2"></span>16.5 Joins in Spark

Spark supports several join algorithms. There are two (orthogonal) types of join algorithms:

- $\rightarrow$  Broadcast Hash/Nested-Loop Join
- $\rightarrow$  Shuffle Hash/Sort-Merge Join

#### <span id="page-40-3"></span>16.5.1 Broadcast Joins

If one of the tables (e.g.  $R$ ) can fit into RAM on every executor and the driver, the table is **broadcasted** to all executors in the cluster. We then partition the bigger table into  $n$  partitions, joining them on  $R$  in parallel. This is not good for outer joins since we cannot perform these in parallel due to joining with NULL's on no match by default.

```
from pyspark . sql . functions import broadcast
...
large_df . join ( broadcast ( small_df ) , [" join_key "])
```
or

```
SELECT /*+ BROADCASTJOIN (small) */ *
FROM large JOIN small
ON large . foo = small . foo
```
# <span id="page-41-0"></span>Lecture 17

# <span id="page-41-1"></span>17.1 Auto Commit and Transactions

### Definition: Transaction

A transaction is a series of SQL queries that are grouped into one logical operation. Their syntax looks like

```
START TRANSACTION
/* queries here */
COMMIT ;
END TRANSACTION
```
Auto-commit is a setting that allows queries to materialize as soon as they are executed. When they're turned off, we have to create a transaction. To the user, transactions are a single indivisible unit. Technically, even a single SQL query can be thought of as a transaction since we actually perform multiple data operations.

Example

If we want to drop two students from a class and add 25 to everyonee else's score, we'd wrap it up in a transaction like this:

```
START TRANSACTION
DELETE FROM final WHERE uid IN ('222222222 ', '333333333 ') ;
UPDATE final SET score = score + 25;
COMMIT ;
END TRANSACTION
```
Here, we may need a transaction since someone with access to the database may try to update it in between the DELETE and UPDATE steps, leading to unwanted behavior. Each of the statements are executed but the results are held in a buffer.

## <span id="page-41-2"></span>17.1.1 ACID Transactions

ACID stands for Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, and Durability, and are discussed below.

Definition: Atomic Operation

An atomic operation is one that either fully executes or doesn't execute at all.

Transactions satisfy atomicity. If an error occurs during the transaction, it aborts and all changes are rolled back. During a transaction, we may run into any one (or more) of these failures:

- $\rightarrow$  Data integrity failure; i.e. corrupted data.
- $\rightarrow$  Constraint failure (on a primary/unique/foreign key, CHECK, etc.).
- $\rightarrow$  Arithmetic errors (e.g. divide by zero).
- $\rightarrow$  Disk failure and system outages.

### Definition: Consistency

Consistency maintains some constraint on the data such that we do not end up with an unexpected amount of data before and after the transaction.

If transactions are run atomically and in isolation starting with a consistent database, we must end up in a consistent state at the end of the transaction.

#### Example

Let  $A = 500$ ,  $B = 200$ . If A transfers 100 to B, we want

 $A + B = 700$ 

before and after the transaction to maintain consistency.

Definition: Isolation

Transactions must happen in isolation; i.e. they operate independently and transparently with one another. Isolation maximizes consistency in concurrent operations.

We may run into issues if our transactions are not isolated. This happens when we execute transactions concurrently on the same data.

### Definition: Durability

Durability implies that after a transaction completes *successfully*, the changes are persisted to the database, even if there are system failures.

Life Cycle of a Transaction: The life cycle of a transaction can be represented as the following graph:



Transactions are said to be ACID compliant if they guarantee the above definition. A relational database must maintain ACID transactions, but NoSQL databases do not.

External Writes: External writes are dangerous, and happen when the RDBMS commits a transaction, but the application doesn't complete its work. The application must then initiate a compensating transaction to undo the actions of the original transaction.

### Example

<span id="page-42-0"></span>If we buy something on Amazon but never receive the item, Amazon's application must issue a compensating transaction to either refund the money or send you the item you purchased.

# 17.1.2 BASE Transactions

BASE stands for Basically Available Soft-state Eventually consistent. While ACID provides high consistency, BASE provides high availability and eventual consistency.

### Definition: Basically Available

Basically availability ensures that the data is highly available by replicating it across nodes of a database cluster.

### Definition: Soft State

Soft state implies that, due to a lack of consistency, data values may change over time and may not immediately be consistent.

### Definition: Eventually Consistent

Eventual consistency implies that, given enough time, the data will become consistent.

## <span id="page-43-0"></span>17.1.3 Strict ACID Guarantees

For this section, we will abstract SQL operations into either a  $write(X)$  or a read $(X)$ , where X is a row.

### Definition: Read and Write

A read (read(X)) transfers X from the database into a variable X in the transaction buffer.

A write (write  $(X)$ ) transfers the value of X from the transaction buffer into the data item X in the database. Technically, it is written to a shared memory buffer (NVRAM).

#### Example

If we want to change a seat, we can either cancel our seat first and then book a new seat, or we can first book a new seat and then cancel our old seat. The second one is atomic, but the first one isn't. To guarantee atomicity, we can wrap either in a transaction. In terms of ACID,

- $\rightarrow$  Atomicity: We either swap seats successfully or don't.
- $\rightarrow$  Consistency: We occupy exactly one seat.
- $\rightarrow$  Isolation: Other passengers should not affect consistency.
- $\rightarrow$  Once the swap is complie, it is materialized.

If  $S_1, S_2$  are the old and new seats respectively, the actual transaction may look like one of the following, though there are many options.

<span id="page-43-1"></span>

# 17.2 Concurrency and Parallelism

Concurrency and parallelism are two different concepts. We will be discussing concurrency.

Definition: Concurrency

Concurrency is when we execute two transactions that share a resource and complete in overlapping time periods.

### Example

When there are multiple lines but only one cashier, we have concurrency.

Definition: Parallelism

Parallelism is when two transactions execute at the exact same time.

Example

When there are multiple lines and multiple cashiers, we have *parallelism*.

# <span id="page-44-0"></span>17.2.1 Isolation and Consistency

To remain consistent, one option is to execute transactions serially; i.e. only one transaction is running at any given point. There is no interleaving of the events of transactions.



Note: In the example above, the results differed but the schedule is still consistent. Further,  $T_1, T_2$  are serial since one executes entirely before the other.

## Definition: Conflict Serializable

A conflict serializable schedule is a concurrent schedule that yields the same result as a serial schedule.





Example

Below is an example of a schedule that is *not* conflict serializable. Let  $A = 1000, B = 2000$ .



## <span id="page-47-0"></span>17.2.2 Serializability: Swapping

If we can convert a concurrent schedule into a serial schedule, it is conflict serializable. To determine serializability, we look at cross-transaction operations.

```
T_1  T_2\frac{1}{\text{read}(A)};
write(A);read(A);write(A);read(B);write(B);read(B);write(B);
```
If the two instructions act on different data points, then there is no issue. Otherwise, order matters.

Read after Read: There is no issue. Therefore, we can swap the order.

Write after Read: There may be an issue, since depending on when the data is read, the answer may be different. This is called a non-repeatable read. Therefore, we cannot swap the order.

Read/Write after Write: See above. Therefore, we cannot swap the order.



Example

<span id="page-47-1"></span>

## 17.2.3 Serializability: Precedence Graph

Another way to determine serializability is to build a **precedence graph** with vertices  $T_i$ . If the graph is a DAG, it is conflict serializable. Otherwise, it is not. The algorithm is as follows:

- $\rightarrow$  Draw an edge  $T_i \rightarrow T_j$  if  $T_i$  executes an incompatible operation before  $T_j$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Check for cycles. If there is a cycle, the schedule is not conflict serializable. Otherwise, run a topological sort to find a serial ordering.



# <span id="page-48-0"></span>17.3 Transaction Isolation Levels

Serializable: In serializable isolation (discussed above), there is little concurrency, it's equivalent to a serial schedule, and it performs poorly, but we get maximum consistency and is the strongest form of isolation.

**Repeatable Read:** If  $T_i$  reads X, no other transaction  $T_j$  can update it. It will pull a shared (read) lock. Then, no other transaction  $T_j$  can write to X. This is the default isolation level in MySQL.

**Read Committed:**  $T_i$  can only read data from another transaction  $T_j$  if it was *committed*. This is the default in DB2, SQL Server, and PostgreSQL.

<span id="page-48-1"></span>**Read Uncommitted:**  $T_i$  can read from any transaction  $T_j$  even if it's not committed.

# 17.3.1 Dirty Read/Write

## Definition: Dirty Read and Write

A dirty read is when a transaction can read uncommitted changes from other transactions.

A dirty write is when  $T_i$  updates a value, then another transaction  $T_j$  changes the same value before  $T_i$  commits.

## Example



Note: Only *read uncommitted* allows for dirty reads.

<span id="page-49-0"></span>Note: We can *never* have dirty writes in any of the isolation levels.

# 17.3.2 Non-Repeatable/Fuzzy Reads

Definition: Dirty Read and Write

A non-repeatable (fuzzy) read happens when one transaction  $T_i$  reads a value, then another transaction  $T_j$  overwrites and commits the value.



<span id="page-49-1"></span>Note: Only *read committed/uncommitted* allow for fuzzy reads.

# 17.3.3 Phantom Read

## Definition: Phantom Read

A phantom read happens when one transaction inserts/deletes rows on a table between fetches in another transaction. They occur on a range of records, not just one.

### Example

Consider the following scenario.



Note: Repeatable read and read committed/uncommitted allow for phantom reads.

Note: Phandom reads only apply to changes made to the set of records, not changes made to existing records.

Anomalies Permitted by Isolation Levels: Below is a table of the allowable anomalies discussed above at each isolation level.  $\mathbf{r}$ 



# <span id="page-51-0"></span>Lecture 18

# <span id="page-51-1"></span>18.1 Locking

### Definition: Shared and Exclusive Lock

A shared lock allows read access to X for a transaction  $T_i$ . Other transactions  $T_j$  may hold a shared lock on  $X^a$  $X^a$ .

An exclusive lock allows read/write access to X for a transaction  $T_i$ . If  $T_i$  holds an exclusive lock on  $X$ , no other transactions are allowed to access  $X$ , and they will block.

<span id="page-51-4"></span><sup>a</sup>This assumes that all of the locks on  $X$  are shared locks.

Locks are used to implement various isolation levels and help to improve consistency while providing a high level of concurrency/performance.

## <span id="page-51-2"></span>18.1.1 Starvation

We will demonstrate starvation via example.



**Granting Locks:** We can prevent starvation by using a pseudo-queuing system: We grant  $T_i$  the lock if:

- (1) No other transaction holds a conflicting lock.
- (2) No other transactions are waiting (blocked) for the lock.

Under this algorithm, the previous example will prevent  $T_1$  from starving since  $T_3$  will block since  $T_1$  was waiting for the lock on A.

## <span id="page-51-3"></span>18.1.2 Releasing Locks

When a transaction completes, it will release the lock, but it may not release it immediately. If we unlock too early, we may lose serializability, and other transactions may be able to make clobbering writes. If we unlock too late, we may end up with either a fully serial schedule, or deadlock.



# <span id="page-52-0"></span>18.1.3 Two-Phase Locking (2PL)

We make requests to lock and unlock in two phases.

Growing Phase: In the growing phase, we *acquire* locks. We can *only* acquire locks in this phase.

Shrinking Phase: In the shrinking phase, we *release* locks. We can *only* release locks in this phase. That is, as soon as a transaction releases one lock, it can no longer acquire new locks.

Consistency and Serializability: 2PL improves consistency since each transaction pulls locks on only the data. This implies that no other transactions can modify that value until the lock has been released. 2PL also guarantees serializability.

<span id="page-52-1"></span>

## 18.1.4 Deadlock

### Definition: Deadlock

**Deadlock** occurs when  $T_1$  locks P with an exclusive lock and requests to lock Q. But  $T_2$  has an exclusive lock on  $Q$ , so  $T_1$  blocks. While  $T_2$  holds  $Q$ , it requests to lock  $P$ . Therefore, both transactions block.

Note: 2PL does not prevent deadlock.



## <span id="page-53-0"></span>18.1.5 Lock Manager

A lock manager handles lock/unlock requests, and stores them in a hash table called a lock table. It can prevent deadlocks or it can detect when deadlocks are about to occur and tell the transaction to rollback.

Preventing Deadlocks: There are various ways to prevent deadlocks:

- $\rightarrow$  Acquire all required locks at once.
- $\rightarrow$  Acquire locks in a certain order (e.g. topological sort) to prevent cycles in a wait-for graph.
- $\rightarrow$  Use preemption; if  $T_i$  request a lock that  $T_j$  holds, we can force  $T_j$  to rollback and grant  $T_i$  the lock based off priority.
- $\rightarrow$  Use timeouts: If a lock waits for n time units and still doesn't acquire the lock, we rollback and start the transaction over.

Detecting Deadlocks: There are various ways to detect deadlocks:

- $\rightarrow$  Choose a victim. At least one transaction must be aborted and rolled back. This depends on various things:
	- $\rightarrow$  How much longer the tranaction needs to finish.
	- $\rightarrow$  How long the transaction has been running for.
	- $\rightarrow$  How many data items the transaction is using.
	- $\rightarrow$  How many more data items the transaction needs.
	- $\rightarrow$  How many transactions will be rolled back.
- $\rightarrow \,$  Rollback
- $\rightarrow$  Repeat until there is no deadlock.

<span id="page-53-1"></span>Note: It is entirely possible to starve a transaction if we pick the same victim every time.

## 18.1.6 Summary

We want our RDBMS to satisfy ACID transactions. In particular, we need to maintain consistency during a transaction for data integrity. We also need concurrency for performance, but this can threaten database consistency. Pure serializability guarantees consistency but it is computationally intensive. We can weaken our isolation levels to guarantee a consistent result while using concurrency.

# <span id="page-54-0"></span>18.2 Transactions in NoSQL

# <span id="page-54-1"></span>18.2.1 Redis

Transactions are subject to the following constraints:

- $\rightarrow$  All commands in a transaction are *serialized*. Therefore, everything runs sequentially which implies that we have pure isolation.
- $\rightarrow$  We have pure atomicity, but if a failure occurs, Redis will error and restart. The user must then manually run a utility to recover and undo the transaction.
- $\rightarrow$  If journal persistence is used (durability), Redis uses a single atomic write call to write the transaction to disk.

## Example

We can prevent inconsistencies in Redis using the WATCH keyword. If *anything* modifies the key other than the current transaction, the transaction fails.

```
WATCH key
val = GET key
val = val + 1MULTI
SET key $val
EXEC
```
# <span id="page-54-2"></span>18.2.2 MongoDB

An update on a *single* document is atomic. As of v4.4, multi-documetn transactions are ACID compliant with restrictions.

```
Example
```

```
$session . action ()
    db.users.insert ({ _id: 6, name "Ibrahim" })
    db.users.updateOne( { 1d : 3, { $set:{ \text{age:}} 50 } } })
session . commit_transaction ()
```
## <span id="page-54-3"></span>18.2.3 Neo4j

All DB operations that access the graph, indices, or the schema must be performed in a transaction. Read commited is the defualt isolation level, so non-repeatable reads are possible. The user can manually acquire write locks on nodes and relationships to control isolation level. Locks can be acquired for nodes and relationships separately.

# <span id="page-55-0"></span>Epilogue

Disclaimer: Whatever your professor says goes! Don't take my word for it, I'm just a student lol.

Thanks for reading my notes!